arXiv:1110.2166v1 [math.AG] 8 Oct 2011

MOTIVIC BIVARIANT CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

JÖRG SCHÜRMANN AND SHOJI YOKURA

Dedicated to William Fulton and Robert MacPherson

ABSTRACT. Let $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ be the relative Grothendieck group of varieties over $X \in Obj(\mathcal{V})$, with $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$) the category of (quasi-projective) algebraic (resp. compact complex analytic) varieties over a base field k. Then we constructed the motivic Hirzebruch class transformation $T_{y_*} : K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ in the algebraic context for k of characteristic zero, with $H_*(X) = CH_*(X)$ (resp. in the complex algebraic or analytic context, with $H_*(X) = H_{2*}^{BM}(X)$). It "unifies" the well-known three characteristic class transformations of singular varieties: MacPherson's Chern class, Baum–Fulton–MacPherson's Todd class and the L-class of Goresky–MacPherson and Cappell–Shaneson. In this paper we construct a bivariant relative Grothendieck group $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \to Y)$ for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ (resp., $\mathcal{V}_c^{an}$) so that $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) = K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ in the algebraic context with k of characteristic zero (resp., complex analytic context).

We also construct in the algebraic context (in any characteristic) two Grothendieck transformations $mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X \to Y) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \to Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$ and $T_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X \to Y) \to \mathbb{H}(X \to Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ with $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(f)$ the bivariant algebraic K-theory of f-perfect complexes and $\mathbb{H}$ the bivariant operational Chow groups (or the even degree bivariant homology in case $k = \mathbb{C}$). Evaluating at $y = 0$, we get a "motivic" lift T_0 of Fulton–MacPherson's bivariant Riemann–Roch transformation $\tau : \mathbb{K}_{alg} \to \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The covariant transformations $mC_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X \to pt) \to G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$ and $T_{y*} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X \to pt) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ agree for k of characteristic zero with our motivic Chern- and Hirzebruch class transformations defined on $K_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X)$. Finally, evaluating at $y = -1$, for k of characteristic zero we get a "motivic" lift T_{-1} of Ernström-Yokura's bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \to CH$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical theory of characteristic classes of vector bundles is a natural transformation from the contravariant monoid functor $(\mathcal{V}ect, \oplus)$ of isomorphism classes of complex or algebraic vector bundles, or the associated Grothendieck group K^0, to a contravariant cohomology theory H^*. When it comes to characteristic classes of singular spaces, they have been so far formulated as natural transformations from certain covariant theories to a covariant homology theory H_*. Topologically or geometrically, the following characteristic classes of singular spaces are most important and have been well-investigated by many people. Here we work either in the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ of (quasi-projective) algebraic varieties (i.e. reduced separated schemes of finite type) over a base field k, with $H_*(X) = CH_*(X)$ the Chow homology groups, or in the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$ of compact reduced complex analytic spaces, with $H_*(X) = H_{2*}^{BM}(X)$ the even degree Borel-Moore homology in the complex algebraic or analytic context:

---

(1) Jörg Schürmann supported by the SFB 878 "groups, geometry and actions".

(2) Shoji Yokura partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 21540088), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

- MacPherson's Chern class transformation [5, 20, 23]:

$$c_* : F(X) \to H_*(X),$$

  defined on the group $F(X)$ of constructible functions in the algebraic context for k of characteritic zero or in the compact complex analytic context.
- Baum–Fulton–MacPherson's Todd class or Riemann–Roch transformation [2, 13]:

$$td_* : G_0(X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

  defined on the Grothendieck group $G_0(X)$ of coherent sheaves in the algebraic context in any characteristic. In the compact complex analytic context such a transformation can be deduced (compare with [5]) from Levy's K-theoretical Riemann-Roch transformation [21].
- Goresky– MacPherson's homology L-class [15], which is extended as a natural transformation by Cappell-Shaneson [9] (see also [5, 29, 28]):

$$L_* : \Omega(X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

  defined on the cobordism group $\Omega(X)$ of selfdual constructible sheaf complexes. This transformation is only defined for compact spaces in the complex algebraic or analytic context, with H_* the usual homology, since its definition is based on a corresponding signature invariant together with the Thom-Pontrjagin construction.

In 1973 R. MacPherson gave a survey talk about characteristic classes of singular varieties, and his survey article [24] ends with the following remark:

"It remains to be seen whether there is a unified theory of characteristic classes of singular varieties like the classical one outlined above."[1]

In our previous paper [5] (see also [6], [26], [25] and [32]) we introduced in the algebraic context for k of characteristic zero, as well as in the compact complex analytic context, the motivic Hirzebruch class transformation

$$T_{y_*} : K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y],$$

defined on the relative Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ of varieties over $X \in Obj(\mathcal{V})$, with $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ resp. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$. This Hirzebruch class transformation "unifies" the above three characteristic classes c_*, td_*, L_* (see also §3) in the sense that we have the following commutative diagrams of transformations:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "\epsilon"'] \arrow[dr, "T_{-1_*}"] & \\
F(X) \arrow[rr, "c_*"'] & & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "mC_0"'] \arrow[dr, "T_{0_*}"] & \\
G_0(X) \arrow[rr, "td_*"'] & & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[1] At that time Goresky–MacPherson's homology L-class was not available yet and it was defined only after the theory of Intersection Homology was invented by Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "sd"'] \arrow[dr, "T_{1*}"] & \\
\Omega(X) \arrow[rr, "L_*"'] & & H_*(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This “unification” could be considered as a positive answer to the above MacPherson’s remark. The commutativity of the diagrams above follows already from the normalization condition

$$T_{y*}(X) := T_{y*}([id_X]) = T^*_y(TX)\cap[X],$$

for X a smooth manifold. Here the Hirzebruch class $T^*_y(E)$ of the complex or algebraic vector bundle E over X is defined to be (see [18, 19]):

$$T^*_y(E) := \prod_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rank} E} Q_y(\alpha_i) \in H^*(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}[y],$$

with

$$Q_y(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha(1+y)}{1-e^{-\alpha(1+y)}} - \alpha y \quad \in \mathbb{Q}[y][[\alpha]]\,.$$

Here α_i are the Chern root of E, i.e., $c(E) = \prod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{rank(E)}}(1+\alpha_i)$. Note that Q_y is a normalized power series, i.e. $Q_y(0)=1$, with:

- $T^*_{-1}(E) = c(E)$ the Chern class, since $Q_{-1}(\alpha) = 1+\alpha$.
- $T^*_0(E) = td(E)$ the Todd class, since $Q_0(\alpha) = \dfrac{\alpha}{1-e^{-\alpha}}$.
- $T^*_1(E) = L(E)$ the Thom–Hirzebruch L-class, since $Q_1(\alpha) = \dfrac{\alpha}{\tanh\alpha}$.

Moreover, we also constructed in [5] in the algebraic context for k of characteristic zero, and in the compact complex analytic context, the motivic Chern class transformation

$$mC_y : K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \to G_0(X)\otimes\mathbb{Z}[y].$$

This satisfies the normalization condition

$$mC_y(X) := mC_y([id_X]) = \sum_{i=0}^{dim(X)} [\Lambda^i T^*X]\cdot y^i = \lambda_y([T^*X])\cap[\mathcal{O}_X]$$

for X a smooth manifold, with λ_y the total λ-class. Then the Hirzebruch class transformation T_{y_*} could also be defined as the composition $td_*\circ mC_y$, renormalized by the multiplication $\times(1+y)^{-i}$ on $H_i(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}[y]$ to fit with the normalization condition above. So mC_y could be considered as a K-theoretical refinement of T_{y_*}.

In early 1980’s William Fulton and Robert MacPherson have introduced the notion of bivariant theory as a *categorical framework for the study of singular spaces*, which is the title of their AMS Memoir book [14] (see also Fulton’s book [13]). As reviewed very quickly in §2, a bivariant theory is definded on morphisms, instead of objects, and unifies both a covariant functor and a contravariant functor. Important objects to be investigated in Bivariant Theories are what they call *Grothendieck transformations* between given two bivariant theories. A Grothendieck transformation is a bivariant version of a natural transformation. A bit more precisely, the main objective of [14] are bivariant-theoretic Riemann–Roch transformations or bivariant analogues of various theorems of Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch type. A key example of [14, Part II] is the bivariant Riemann–Roch transformation $\tau : \mathbb{K}_{alg} \to \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ on the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{qp}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex quasi-projective varieties, with $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(f)$ the bivariant algebraic K-theory of f-perfect complexes and $\mathbb{H}$ the even degree bivariant homology. It unifies the covariant Todd class transformation td_* and the contravariant Chern character ch. An algebraic version on the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{qp}_k$ of quasi-projective varieties over a base field k of any characteristic was constructed later on in [13, Example 18.3.19], with $\mathbb{H} = CH$ the bivariant operational Chow groups. As another example, Fulton-MacPherson constructed in [14, Part I, §6] a bivariant Whitney class transformation. And they asked in the complex algebraic context for a corresponding bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{H}$ on their bivariant theory $\mathbb{F}$ of constructible functions satisfying a suitable local Euler condition, which generalizes the covariant MacPherson Chern class transformation c_*. For $\mathbb{H}$ the even degree bivariant homology, this problem was solved by Brasselet [4] in a suitable context (even for compact analytic spaces), whereas Ernström-Yokura [11] solved it for $\mathbb{H} = A^{PI} (\supset CH)$ another bivariant operational Chow group theory (for the notation A^{PI} see [11]). Finally, relaxing the local Euler condition, they introduced in [12] a bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \to CH$ from another bivariant theory $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ of constructible functions. This last approach is based on the usual calculus of constructible functions and the surjectivity of $c_* : F(X) \to CH_*(X)$, so it works in the algebraic context over any base field k of characteristic zero (even though it was stated in [12] only in the complex algebraic context). Here $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}(X \to pt) = F(X)$ follows from the multiplicativity of c_* with respect to cross products $\times$.

One of the main objects of the present paper is to obtain two bivariant analogues

$$mC_y = \Lambda^{mot}_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X \to Y) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \to Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$$

and

$$T_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}/X \to Y) \to \mathbb{H}(X \to Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$$

of the motivic Chern and Hirzebruch class transformations mC_y and T_{y*}, with T_y defined as the composition $\tau \circ mC_y$, renormalized by the multiplication $\times (1+y)^i$ on $\mathbb{H}^i(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$. Moreover, T_y unifies the bivariant Riemann–Roch transformation $\tau : \mathbb{K}_{alg} \to \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (for $y = 0$) and the bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \to CH$ (for $y = -1$). Note that a bivariant L-class transformation (corresponding to $y = 1$) is still missing. In [7, 8] we considered a kind of general construction of a bivariant analogue of a given natural transformation between two covariant functors, but our approach presented in this paper is quite different from it. The former is more "operational", but the latter is more "direct" and very "motivic", as outlined below.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{(qp)}_k$ be the category of (quasi-projective) algebraic varieties (i.e. reduced separated schemes of finite type) over a base field k of any characteristic, or let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{an}_c$ be the category of compact reduced complex analytic spaces. On the category $\mathcal{V}$ we define

$$\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

to be the free abelian group on the set of isomorphism classes $[V \xrightarrow{h} X]$ of proper morphisms $h : V \to X$ such that the composite $f \circ h : V \to Y$ is a smooth morphism, in other words, $h : V \to X$ is "a left quotient" of a smooth morphism $s : V \to Y$ devided by the given morphism f:

$$f \circ h = s \quad \text{or} \quad h = \frac{s}{f},$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} & V \arrow[dl, "h"'] \arrow[dr, "s"] & \\ X \arrow[rr, "f"'] & & Y. \end{tikzcd}$$

Here two morphisms $h : V \to X$ and $h' : V' \to X$ are called isomorphic to each other if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : V \xrightarrow{\cong} V'$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[rr, "\phi"] \arrow[dr, "h"'] & & V' \arrow[dl, "h'"] \\ & X. & \end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 1.1. *The association $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-)$ becomes a bivariant theory with natural bivariant-theoretic operations.*

Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a bivariant theory on $\mathcal{V}$ such that a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ has a nice canonical orientation $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{B}(f)$, like $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-)$, with $\theta(f) := [X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]$ (these notions will be explained in §2). In the algebraic context, examples for $\mathbb{B}$ are given by the bivariant algebraic K-theory $\mathbb{K}_{alg}$ of relative perfect complexes and the bivariant operational Chow groups CH. Examples in the complex algebraic or analytic context are given by the (even degree) bivariant topological K-theory $\mathbb{K}^{top}$ or homology theory $\mathbb{H} \otimes R$ of Fulton–MacPherson [14], with $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}[y]$. Another example is Fulton-MacPherson's bivariant theory $\mathbb{F}$ of constructible functions in the complex algebraic or analytic context, or Ernström-Yokura's bivariant theory $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ of constructible functions in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, with $\theta(f) = \mathbb{1}_f := 1_X$ for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$. Then the following result also applies to this context of bivariant constructible functions, if one trivially defines $c\ell(V) := 1$ as the unit in $\mathbb{B}^*(-)$ for all vector bundles V.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $c\ell : Vect(-) \to \mathbb{B}^*(-)$ be a contravariant functorial characteristic class of algebraic (or analytic) vector bundles with values in the associated cohomology theory, which is multiplicative in the sense that $c\ell(V) = c\ell(V')c\ell(V'')$ for any short exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \to V' \to V \to V'' \to 0$. Assume $c\ell$ commutes with the canonical orientation θ, i.e. $\theta(f) \bullet cl(V) = f^* cl(V) \bullet \theta(f)$ for all smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ and $V \in Vect(Y)$. Then there exists a unique Grothendieck transformation*

$$\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}(-)$$

satisfying the normalization condition that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the following identity holds in $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$:

$$\gamma_{c\ell}([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]) = c\ell(T_f) \bullet \theta(f).$$

Here T_f is the relative tangent bundle of the smooth morphism f.

This $\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}(-)$ should be considered as *a "pre-motivic" bivariant theory of characteristic classes*. In particular, if we consider the case of a mapping $X \to pt$ to a point, $\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) := \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt)$ behaves covariantly and we have

Corollary 1.3. *$\gamma_{c\ell *} : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}_*(-)$ is a unique natural transformation satisfying the "normalization condition" that for a smooth variety X*

$$\gamma_{c\ell *}([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]) = c\ell(TX) \cap [X],$$

with $[X] := \theta(p) \in \mathbb{B}_*(X)$ the "fundamental class" of X given by the canonical orientation of the smooth morphism $p : X \to pt$.

Remark 1.4. We note that in fact the characteristic class $c\ell$ *does not need* to be multiplicative for the definition of the natural transformation $\gamma_{c\ell *} : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}_*(-)$, although we *do need* the multiplicativity of $c\ell$ for the Grothendieck transformation $\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}(-)$, e.g. for the multiplicativity of $\gamma_{c\ell *}$ with respect to cross products $\times$.

$\gamma_{c\ell *} : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}_*(-)$ should be considered as a *"pre-motivic" characteristic class transformation of possibly singular varieties*. A true *"motivic" characteristic class transformation of possibly singular varieties* should factorize as in [5] over the canonical group homomorphism

$$q : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X), \tag{1}$$

like the transformations $\gamma_{c\ell *}$ associated to the multiplicative characteristic classes $c\ell$ given by c, td, L, T_y^*, or the total lambda-class $\lambda_y((-)^*)$ of the dual vector bundle, as mentioned before (in the complex analytic or algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero). Only then we can also speak of the corresponding characteristic class $cl_*(X) := \gamma_{c\ell *}([id_X])$ of the singular space X.

Remark 1.5. In fact in [5] we proved more in the complex analytic or algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, with $\mathbb{B} = CH \otimes R$ or $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{H} \otimes R$: The induced genus $\gamma_{c\ell *} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/pt) \to H_*(pt) \otimes R = R$ of a corresponding multiplicative characteristic class $c\ell$ has to be a specialization of the Hirzebruch χ_y-genus characterized by

$$\chi_y(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1 - y + y^2 + \cdots + (-y)^n.$$

Moreover, the Hirzebruch class T_y^* is for $R = \mathbb{Q}[y]$ the only multiplicative characteristic class $c\ell$ with this property, which is defined by a normalized power series in $\mathbb{Q}[y][[\alpha]]$. So it is the only such characteristic class $c\ell$, for which $\gamma_{c\ell *} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ can be factorized over the motivic group $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "q"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{c\ell *}"] & \\ K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[rr, "T_{y *}"'] & & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \,. \end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

By "resolution of singularities", the canonical group homomorphism $q : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ is surjective in the complex analytic or algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero. Moreover, using the "weak factorization theorem" of [1, 27], its kernel was described by Bittner [3] in terms of a "blow-up relation". Here we introduce the following bivariant analogue:

Definition 1.6. For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ or $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$, we consider a blow-up diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"] & Bl_S X' \arrow[d, "q"] & & \\
S \arrow[r, "i"] & X' \arrow[r, "h"] & X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with h proper and i a closed embedding such that $f \circ h$ as well as $f \circ h \circ i$ are smooth. Here $q : Bl_S X' \to X'$ is the blow-up of X' along S, with $q' : E \to S$ the exceptional divisor map. Then also $f \circ h \circ q$ and $f \circ h \circ i \circ q'$ are smooth (with $Bl_S X'$ and E quasi-projective in the case $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{qp}$). Let $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ be the free abelian subgroup of $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ generated by

$$[Bl_S X' \xrightarrow{hq} X] - [E \xrightarrow{hiq'} X] - [X' \xrightarrow{h} X] + [S \xrightarrow{hi} X]$$

for any such diagram, and define

$$\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) := \frac{\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}{\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}.$$

The corresponding equivalence class of $[V \xrightarrow{p} X]$ shall be denoted by $\Big[[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big]$.

Note that for $Y = pt$ a point, the smoothness of $f \circ h$ and $f \circ h \circ i$ above is equivalent to X' and S are smooth manifolds. So in this case $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt)$ reduces to the "blow-up relation" considered by Bittner. In particular, we get a canonical group homomorphism $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ to the relative motivic Grothendieck group of varieties over X, which by Bittner's theorem is an isomorphism in the complex analytic or algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ be the category of (quasi-projective) algebraic varieties (i.e. reduced separated schemes of finite type) over a base field k of any characteristic, or let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$ be the category of compact reduced complex analytic spaces.*

(i) $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/-)$ *can be given uniquely the structure of a bivariant theory so that the canonical projection $\mathbb{B}q : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/-)$ is a Grothendieck transformation.*

(ii) *There exists a unique Grothendieck transformation*

$$mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$$

satisfying the normalization condition that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the following equality holds in $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$:

$$\Lambda_y^{mot}\Big(\Big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\Big]\Big) = \Lambda_y(T_f^*) \bullet \theta(f).$$

(iii) *Let $T_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ be defined as the composition $\tau \circ \Lambda_y^{mot}$, renormalized by $\cdot(1+y)^i$ on $\mathbb{H}^i(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$. Here $\mathbb{H}$ is either the operational bivariant Chow group, or the even degree bivariant homology theory for $k = \mathbb{C}$, with τ the corresponding Riemann-Roch transformation.*
Then T_y is the unique Grothendieck transformation satisfying the normalization

condition that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ *the following equality holds in* $\mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$*:*

$$T_y\Big(\big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\big]\Big) = T_y^*(T_f) \bullet \theta(f).$$

Corollary 1.8. *We have the following commutative diagrams of Grothendieck transformations:*

(i)

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \arrow[dl, "mC_0"'] \arrow[dr, "T_0"] & \\ \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \arrow[rr, "\tau"'] & & \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{tikzcd}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \arrow[dl, "\epsilon"'] \arrow[dr, "T_{-1}"] & \\ \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(-) \arrow[rr, "\gamma"'] & & CH(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \end{tikzcd}$$

if k *is of characteristic zero. Here* ϵ *is the unique Grothendieck transformation satisfying the normalization condition* $\epsilon\Big(\big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\big]\Big) = 1\!\!1_f$ *for a smooth morphism* $f : X \to Y$*. And similarly for the bivariant Chern class transformation* $\gamma : \mathbb{F}(-) \to A^{PI}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \supset CH(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ *in case* $k = \mathbb{C}$*.*

(iii) *Assume* k *is of characteristic zero. Then the associated covariant transformations in Theorem 1.7 (ii) and (iii) agree under the identification* $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/X \to pt) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/X)$ *with the motivic Chern and Hirzebruch class transformations* mC_y *and* T_{y*}*.*

Let us finish this introduction with some problems left open:

1. Our construction of the Grothendieck transformation $mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$ based on [16, Chapter IV, Theorem 1.2.1 and (1.2.6)] also works in the algebraic context without considering only quasi-projective varieties, if one uses the more sophisticated definition of $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) = K_0(D^b_{f-perf}(X))$ as the Grothendieck goup of the triangulated category of f-perfect complexes. And a similar definition can also be used in the context of compact complex analytic varieties (cf. [14, Part I, §10.10] and [22]). Then it seems reasonable, that one can also construct in a similar way in this compact complex analytic context the Grothendieck transformation $mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot}$? Here it would be enough to prove the analogues of [16, Chapter IV, Theorem 1.2.1 and (1.2.6)] in the complex analytic context.
2. Similarly one would like to further construct in this compact complex analytic context also the Grothendieck transformation T_y based on Levy's K-theoretical Riemann-Roch transformation $\alpha : \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \to \mathbb{K}_0^{top}(-)$ from algebraic to topological bivariant K-theory (see [22]). A key result missing so far is the counterpart $\alpha(\mathcal{O}_f) = \theta(f)$ of [14, Part II, Theorem 1.4 (3)] , that α identifies for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the canonical orientation $\mathcal{O}_f := [\mathcal{O}_X] \in \mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ with the canonical orientation $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{K}_0^{top}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$?

(3) We don't know if Brasselet's bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{F}(-) \to \mathbb{H}(-)$ (see [4]) satisfies for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the "strong normalization condition"

$$\gamma(1\!\!1_f) = c(T_f) \bullet \theta(f) \in \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)\ ?$$

Then Corollary 1.8 (ii) would also be true for Brasselet's bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{F}(-) \to \mathbb{H}(-)$.

(4) In a future work we will construct in the compact complex algebraic or analytic context a bivariant analogue $\mathbb{B}\Omega(-)$ of the cobordism group $\Omega(-)$ of self-dual constructible sheaf complexes, together with a Grothendieck transformation $sd : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}\Omega(-)$. This will be based on suitable Witt-groups of constructible sheaves and some other related topics different from the theme of the present paper. But what is still missing to get the counterpart of Corollary 1.8 (i) and (ii) for $y = 1$ is a bivariant L-class transformation $\mathbb{B}L : \mathbb{B}\Omega(-) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$?

2. FULTON–MACPHERSON'S BIVARIANT THEORY

For the sake of the reader we quickly recall some basic ingredients of Fulton–MacPherson's bivariant theory [14].

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a category which has a final object pt and on which the fiber product or fiber square is well-defined, e.g. the category $\mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ of (quasi-projective) algebraic varieties (i.e. reduced separated schemes of finite type) over a base field k, or $\mathcal{V}_{(c)}^{an}$ the category of (compact) reduced complex analytic spaces. We also consider a class of maps, called "confined maps" (e.g., proper maps in this algebraic or analytic geometric context), which are closed under composition and base change and contain all the identity maps. Finally, one fixes a class of fiber squares, called "independent squares" (or "confined squares", e.g., "Tor-independent" in algebraic geometry, a fiber square with some extra conditions required on morphisms of the square), which satisfy the following properties:

(i) if the two inside squares in

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d, "f''"] & X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y'' \arrow[r, "h"'] & Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

or

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "h''"'] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "h'"'] \arrow[d, "g'"'] & Y \arrow[d, "g"] \\
Z' \arrow[r, "h"'] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

are independent, then the outside square is also independent.

(ii) any square of the following forms are independent:

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_X"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_X"'] & Y \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_X"'] & Y \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_Y"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where $f : X \to Y$ is any morphism.

A bivariant theory $\mathbb{B}$ on a category $\mathcal{V}$ with values in the category of (graded) abelian groups is an assignment to each morphism

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

in the category $\mathcal{V}$ a (graded) abelian group (in most cases we can ignore a possible grading)

$$\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

which is equipped with the following three basic operations. The i-th component of $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ (with $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) =: \mathbb{B}^0(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ in the ungraded context).

Product operations: For morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$, the ($\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear) product operation

$$\bullet : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{B}^j(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathbb{B}^{i+j}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)$$

is defined.

Pushforward operations: For morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ with f *confined*, the ($\mathbb{Z}$-linear) pushforward operation

$$f_* : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \to \mathbb{B}^i(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$$

is defined.

Pullback operations: For an *independent* square

$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y, \end{tikzcd}$$

the ($\mathbb{Z}$-linear) pullback operation

$$g^* : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}^i(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$$

is defined.

And these three operations are required to satisfy the seven compatibility axioms (see [14, Part I, §2.2] for details):

(B-1) product is associative,
(B-2) pushforward is functorial,
(B-3) pullback is functorial,
(B-4) product and pushforward commute,

(B-5) product and pullback commute,
(B-6) pushforward and pullback commute, and
(B-7) projection formula.

We also assume that $\mathbb{B}$ has *units*, i.e., there is an element $1_X \in \mathbb{B}^0(X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X)$ such that $\alpha \bullet 1_X = \alpha$ for all morphisms $W \to X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(W \to X)$; such that $1_X \bullet \beta = \beta$ for all morphisms $X \to Y$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(X \to Y)$; and such that $g^* 1_X = 1_{X'}$ for all $g : X' \to X$.

Let $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}'$ be two bivariant theories on the category $\mathcal{V}$. Then a *Grothendieck transformation* from $\mathbb{B}$ to $\mathbb{B}'$

$$\gamma : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}'$$

is a collection of group homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{B}(X \to Y) \to \mathbb{B}'(X \to Y)$$

for all morphisms $X \to Y$ in the category $\mathcal{V}$, which preserves the above three basic operations (as well as the units, but not necessarily possible gradings):

(i) $\gamma(\alpha \bullet_{\mathbb{B}} \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathbb{B}'} \gamma(\beta)$,
(ii) $\gamma(f_* \alpha) = f_* \gamma(\alpha)$, and
(iii) $\gamma(g^* \alpha) = g^* \gamma(\alpha)$.

Most of our bivariant theories in this paper are *commutative* (see [14, §2.2]), i.e., if whenever both

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y \arrow[r, "g"'] & Z \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"'] & Y \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Z \end{tikzcd}$$

are independent squares, then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Z)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$

$$g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta = f^*(\beta) \bullet \alpha.$$

This is for example the case for all bivariant theories mentioned in the introduction in the algebraic or analytic geometric context, except for the bivariant operational Chow group CH, with bivariant algebraic K-theory $\mathbb{K}_{alg}$ and bivariant constructible functions $\mathbb{F}, \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ examples of ungraded theories. Here CH is at least commutative in the context of a base field k of characteristic zero, by [13, Example 17.4.4] (using resolution of singularities). Similarly the bivariant homology $\mathbb{H}$ is commutative, if we restrict ourselves to the even degree part only (otherwise $\mathbb{H}$ would be *skew-commutative*, i.e. $g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta = (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)\deg(\beta)} f^*(\beta) \bullet \alpha$ in the situation above).

$\mathbb{B}_*(X) := \mathbb{B}(X \to pt)$ becomes a covariant functor for *confined* morphisms and $\mathbb{B}^*(X) := \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{id} X)$ becomes a contravariant ring valued functor for *any* morphisms, with $\mathbb{B}_*(X)$ a left $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$-module under the product $\cap := \bullet : \mathbb{B}^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{B}_*(X) \to \mathbb{B}_*(X)$. As to a possible grading, one sets $\mathbb{B}_i(X) := \mathbb{B}^{-i}(X \to pt)$ and $\mathbb{B}^j(X) := \mathbb{B}^j(X \xrightarrow{id} X)$ so that $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ becomes a graded ring with $\cap : \mathbb{B}^j(X) \otimes \mathbb{B}_i(X) \to \mathbb{B}_{i-j}(X)$.

The following notion of a *canonical orientation* makes $\mathbb{B}_*$ a contravariant functor and $\mathbb{B}^*$ a covariant functor with the corresponding Gysin (or transfer) homomorphisms:

Definition 2.1. ([14, Part I, Definition 2.6.2]) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of maps in $\mathcal{V}$, which is closed under compositions and contains all identity maps. Suppose that to each $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{S}$ there is assigned an element $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ satisfying that

(i) $\theta(g \circ f) = \theta(f) \bullet \theta(g)$ for all $f : X \to Y$, $g : Y \to Z \in \mathcal{S}$ and

(ii) $\theta(\mathrm{id}_X) = 1_X$ for all X with $1_X \in \mathbb{B}^*(X) := \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X)$ the unit element.

Then $\theta(f)$ is called a *canonical orientation* of f. If we need to refer to which bivariant theory we consider, we denote $\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(f)$ instead of the simple notation $\theta(f)$.

For example the class $\mathcal{S}$ of *smooth* morphisms in the algebraic or analytic geometric context has canonical orientations for all the bivariant theories mentioned in the introduction, with all cartesian squares independent.

Proposition 2.2. *For the composite* $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, *if* $f \in \mathcal{S}$ *has a canonical orientation* $\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(f)$, *then we have the Gysin homomorphism (or transfer) defined by* $f^!(\alpha) := \theta(f) \bullet \alpha$*:*

$$f^! : \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z),$$

which is functorial, i.e., $(gf)^! = f^! g^!$ *and* $id^! = id$*. In particular, when* $Z = pt$*, we have the Gysin homomorphism:*

$$f^! : \mathbb{B}_*(Y) \to \mathbb{B}_*(X).$$

Proposition 2.3. *For an independent square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

if $g \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S}$ *and* g *has a canonical orientation* $\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(g)$, *then we have the Gysin homomorphism defined by* $g_!(\alpha) := g'_*(\alpha \bullet \theta(g))$*:*

$$g_! : \mathbb{B}(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y') \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y),$$

which is functorial, i.e., $(gf)_! = g_! f_!$ *and* $id_! = id$*. In particular, for an independent square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_X"'] & Y \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_Y"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $f \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S}$*, we have the Gysin homomorphism:*

$$f_! : \mathbb{B}^*(X) \to \mathbb{B}^*(Y).$$

The symbols $f^!$ and $g_!$ should carry the information of $\mathcal{S}$ and the canonical orientation θ, but it will be usually omitted if it is not necessary to be mentioned.

Suppose that we have a Grothendieck transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}'$ of two bivariant theories $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}'$. This induces natural transformations $\gamma_* : \mathbb{B}_* \to \mathbb{B}'_*$ and $\gamma^* : \mathbb{B}^* \to \mathbb{B}'^*$,

i.e., we have the following commutative diagrams:
For any morphism $f : X \to Y$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}^*(X) \arrow[r, "\gamma^*"] \arrow[d, "f^*"'] & \mathbb{B}'^*(X) \arrow[d, "f^*"] \\
\mathbb{B}^*(Y) \arrow[r, "\gamma^*"'] & \mathbb{B}'^*(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

For a confined morphism $f : X \to Y$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}_*(X) \arrow[r, "\gamma_*"] \arrow[d, "f_*"'] & \mathbb{B}'_*(X) \arrow[d, "f_*"] \\
\mathbb{B}_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\gamma_*"'] & \mathbb{B}'_*(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

And these are related by the *module property*

$$\gamma_*(\beta \cap \alpha) = \gamma^*(\beta) \cap \gamma_*(\alpha) \quad \text{for all} \quad \beta \in \mathbb{B}^*(X), \alpha \in \mathbb{B}_*(X).$$

Assume now that $f : X \to Y$ has a canonical orientation for both bivariant theories. Then a bivariant element $u_f \in \mathbb{B}'^*(X) = \mathbb{B}'(X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X)$ with

$$\gamma(\theta_{\mathbb{B}}(f)) = u_f \bullet \theta_{\mathbb{B}'}(f)$$

is called a *Riemann–Roch formula* (see [14]) comparing these canonical orientations with respect to the bivariant theories $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}'$. Such a Riemann–Roch formula gives rise to the following (wrong-way) commutative diagrams with respect to the above two Gysin homomorphisms $f_!, f^!$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}^*(X) \arrow[r, "\gamma^*"] \arrow[d, "f_!"'] & \mathbb{B}'^*(X) \arrow[d, "f_!(- \bullet u_f)"] \\
\mathbb{B}^*(Y) \arrow[r, "\gamma^*"'] & \mathbb{B}'^*(Y).
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}_*(Y) \arrow[r, "\gamma_*"] \arrow[d, "f^!"'] & \mathbb{B}'_*(Y) \arrow[d, "u_f \bullet f^!"] \\
\mathbb{B}_*(X) \arrow[r, "\gamma_*"'] & \mathbb{B}'_*(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The most important and motivating example of such a Grothendieck transformation is Baum–Fulton–MacPherson's bivariant *Riemann–Roch transformation* ([14, Part II]):

$$\tau : \mathbb{K}_{alg} \to \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,,$$

or its algebraic counterpart of [13, Example 18.3.19]. Here $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{qp}$ is the category of quasi-projective varieties over a base field k of any characteristic, with $\mathbb{H} = CH$ the bivariant operational Chow groups, or $\mathbb{H}$ the even degree bivariant homology in case $k = \mathbb{C}$. The independent squares in this context are the *Tor-independent* fiber squares. $\mathbb{K}_{alg}$ is the bivariant algebraic K-theory of relative perfect complexes, so that ${\mathbb{K}_{alg}}_*(X) = K_0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves and ${\mathbb{K}_{alg}}^*(X) = K^0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of algebraic vector bundles. The associated contravariant transformation is the *Chern character*

$$\tau^* = ch : K^0(X) \to H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

and the associated covariant transformation is the *Todd class transformation*

$$\tau_* = td_* : G_0(X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

which is functorial for proper morphisms $f : X \to Y$. Moreover, they are related by the *module property*

$$td_*(\beta \cap \alpha) = ch^*(\beta) \cap td_*(\alpha) \quad \text{for all} \quad \beta \in K^0(X), \alpha \in G_0(X). \tag{3}$$

This generalizes the original Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch Theorem and Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch Theorem. Both bivariant theories $\mathbb{K}_{alg}$ and $H_*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are canonically oriented for the class $\mathcal{S}$ of smooth (or more generally of local complete intersection) morphism, with $\theta_{\mathbb{K}}(f) = \mathcal{O}_f := [\mathcal{O}_X] \in \mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ the class of the structure sheaf, and $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}(f) = [f] \in \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ the corresponding "relative fundamental class". And these are related by the *Riemann–Roch formula*

$$\tau(\mathcal{O}_f) = td(T_f) \bullet [f] \,, \tag{4}$$

with $u_f := td(T_f) \in H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (compare with [14, (*) on p.124] for $\mathbb{H}$ the bivariant homology in case $k = \mathbb{C}$. For $\mathbb{H} = CH$ the bivariant Chow group and k of any characteristic, this follows from [13, Theorem 18.2] as we explain in the last section of our paper). Here T_f is the (virtual) tangent bundle of f. This implies the following two results:

SGA 6-Riemann–Roch Theorem: The following diagram commutes for a proper smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K(X) \arrow[r, "ch"] \arrow[d, "f_!"'] & H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[d, "f_!(td(T_f) \cup -\ )"] \\ K(Y) \arrow[r, "ch"'] & H^*(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

Verdier–Riemann–Roch Theorem: The following diagram commutes for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} G_0(Y) \arrow[r, "td_*"] \arrow[d, "f^!"'] & H_*(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[d, "td(T_f) \cap f^!"] \\ G_0(X) \arrow[r, "td_*"'] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

Of course both formulae are more generally true for f a local complete intersection morphism, which is special to the Grothendieck transformation τ. In this paper only the case of a smooth morphism will be used, and then similar results are also true for the other considered Grothendieck transformations. It should also be remarked that *one motivation of Fulton–MacPherson's bivariant theory was to unify the above three Riemann–Roch theorems ...* (see [14, Part II, §0.1.4]).

Definition 2.4. (i) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be another class of maps in $\mathcal{V}$, called "specialized maps" (e.g., smooth maps in algebraic geometry), which is closed under composition and under base change and containing all identity maps. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a bivariant theory. If $\mathcal{S}$ has canonical orientations in $\mathbb{B}$, then we say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *canonical* $\mathbb{B}$*-oriented* and an element of $\mathcal{S}$ is called a *canonical* $\mathbb{B}$*-oriented* morphism.

(ii) Assume furthermore, that the orientation θ on $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies for any independent square with $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

the condition

$$\theta(f') = g^*\theta(f) \tag{7}$$

(which means that the orientation θ is preserved under the pullback operation). Then we call θ a *nice canonical orientation* and say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *nice canonical* $\mathbb{B}$*-oriented*. Similarly an element of $\mathcal{S}$ is called a *nice canonical* $\mathbb{B}$*-oriented* morphism.

Consider for example the class $\mathcal{S}$ of all *smooth* morphisms for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ the category of (quasi-projective) varieties over a base field k of any characteristic, with all fiber squares as the independent squares. Then this class has a nice canonical orientation θ with respect to $\mathbb{K}_{alg}$ or CH in any characteristic (with $\theta(f) = \mathcal{O}_f$ or $[f]$), to $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ in characteristic zero (with $\theta(f) = 1\!\!1_f$) and to $\mathbb{F}$ or bivariant homology $\mathbb{H}$ for $k = \mathbb{C}$ (with $\theta(f) = 1\!\!1_f$ or $[f]$).

3. A UNIVERSAL BIVARIANT THEORY ON THE CATEGORY OF VARIETIES

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ of (quasi-projective) varieties over a base field k of any characteristic, or the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$ of compact reduced analytic spaces, with all fiber squares as the independent squares. As the “confined” resp. “specialized” maps we take the class $\mathcal{P}rop$ of *proper* resp. $\mathcal{S}m$ of *smooth* morphisms.

Theorem 3.1. *We define*

$$\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

to be the free abelian group generated by the set of isomorphism classes of proper morphisms $h : W \to X$ such that the composite of h and f is a smooth morphism:

$$h \in \mathcal{P}rop \quad \textit{and} \quad f \circ h : W \to Y \in \mathcal{S}m.$$

Then the association $\mathbb{M}$ is a bivariant theory*, if the three operations are defined as follows:*

Product operation*: For morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$, the product operation*

$$\bullet : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)$$

is defined for $[V \xrightarrow{p} X] \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ and $[W \xrightarrow{k} Y] \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ by

$$[V \xrightarrow{p} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y] := [V' \xrightarrow{p \circ k''} X],$$

and bilinearly extended. Here we consider the following fiber squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V' \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "k''"'] & X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "k'"'] & W \arrow[d, "k"'] & \\
V \arrow[r, "p"'] & X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y \arrow[r, "g"'] & Z.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8}$$

Pushforward operation*: For morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ with $f \in \mathcal{P}rop$, the pushforward operation*

$$f_* : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \to \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$$

is defined by

$$f_*([V \xrightarrow{p} X]) := [V \xrightarrow{f\circ p} Y]$$

and linearly extended.

Pullback operation*: For an independent square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

the pullback operation

$$g^* : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$$

is defined by

$$g^*([V \xrightarrow{p} X]) := [V' \xrightarrow{p'} X']$$

and linearly extended. Here we consider the following fiber squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V' \arrow[r, "g''"] \arrow[d, "p'"'] & V \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

The proof is left for the reader. Note that $\theta(f) := [X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]$ for the smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ defines a nice canonical orientation on $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-)$. We call the bivariant theory $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-)$ a *pre-motivic bivariant relative Grothendieck group* on the category $\mathcal{V}$ of varieties.

Remark 3.2. (1) $\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) = \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt)$ is the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[V \xrightarrow{h} X]$, where h is proper and V is smooth. $\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/-)$ is a covariant functor for proper morphisms, i.e., if $f : X \to Y$ is proper, we have the covariant pushforward

$$f_* : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/Y)\,.$$

$\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/-)$ is also a contravariant functor for smooth morphisms, i.e., if $f : X \to Y$ is a smooth morphism, we have the contravaraint Gysin homomorphism

$$f^! : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/Y) \to \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X)\,.$$

(2) $\mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X) = \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X)$ is the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[V \xrightarrow{h} X]$, where h is proper and smooth. It gets a ring structure $\cup$ by fiber products, with unit $1_X = [X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]$. $\mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/-)$ is a contravariant functor for any morphism, i.e., for any morphism $f : X \to Y$ we have the contravariant pullback (preserving $\cup$ and the units)

$$f^* : \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/Y) \to \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X)\,.$$

$\mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/-)$ is also a covariant functor for morphisms which are smooth and proper, i.e., if $f : X \to Y$ is a smooth proper morphism, we have the covariant Gysin homomorphism

$$f_! : \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/Y) \,.$$

(3) The bivariant product induces the following "cap product":

$$\cap : \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X) \times \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X).$$

In particular, when X itself is a *smooth* variety, with $[X] := \cap[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X] \in \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X)$, we have the "Poincaré duality" homomorphism

$$\cap[X] : \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \,,$$

which is nothing but $[W \xrightarrow{k} X] \cap [X] = [W \xrightarrow{k} X]$. More generally, the isomorphism class $[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \in \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X)$ of any proper morphism $h : V \to X$ from a *smooth* variety V to X gives rise to the homomorphism

$$\cap[V \xrightarrow{h} X] : \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X)$$

defined by $[W \xrightarrow{k} X] \cap [V \xrightarrow{h} X] = [W \times_X V \to X]$.

The bivariant theory $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-)$ has the following universal property:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a bivariant theory on $\mathcal{V}$ such that a smooth morphism f has a* nice canonical orientation $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{B}(f)$*, and let $c\ell : Vect(-) \to \mathbb{B}^*(-)$ be a contravariant functorial characteristic class of algebraic (or analytic) vector bundles with values in the associated cohomology theory, which is multiplicative in the sense that $c\ell(V) = c\ell(V')c\ell(V'')$ for any short exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \to V' \to V \to V'' \to 0$. Assume $c\ell$ commutes with the canonical orientation θ, i.e. $\theta(f) \bullet cl(V) = f^*cl(V) \bullet \theta(f)$ for all smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ and $V \in Vect(Y)$.*

Then there exists a unique Grothendieck transformation

$$\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}(-)$$

satisfying the normalization condition that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the following identity holds in $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$:

$$\gamma_{c\ell}([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]) = c\ell(T_f) \bullet \theta(f).$$

Here T_f is the relative tangent bundle of the smooth morphism f.

Proof. Uniqueness follows from

$$[V \xrightarrow{h} X] = h_*([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]) \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

for $h : V \to X$ a proper morphism with $f \circ h$ smooth. So we simply define in this case

$$\gamma_{c\ell}([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := h_*(c\ell(T_{f\circ h}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h)).$$

The rest is to show that this assignment $\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ is a well-defined Grothendieck transformation preserving the three bivariant operations. This follows for $\mathbb{B}$ commutative from the more general results [31, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5], but we give here a direct proof for this concrete situation.

(i) the well-definedness of the above assignment $\gamma_{c\ell}$: Let us choose another representative $h' : V' \to X$, i.e., we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V' \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "h'"'] & V \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X \arrow[r, "id_X"'] & X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is a fiber square. Thus we have the following fiber square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V' \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "h'"'] & V \arrow[d, "h"] \\
X \arrow[r, "id_X"'] \arrow[d, "f"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y \arrow[r, "id_Y"'] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $f \circ h$ is smooth, $f \circ h'$ is also smooth and we have by (B-5):

$$\begin{aligned}
c\ell(T_{f\circ h'}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h') &= id_X^* \left(c\ell(T_{f\circ h})\right) \bullet id_Y^* \left(\theta(f \circ h)\right) \\
&= id_Y^* \left(c\ell(T_{f\circ h}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $c\ell(T_{f\circ h'}) = id_X^* \left(c\ell(T_{f\circ h})\right)$ follows from the functoriality of cl, and $\theta(f \circ h') = id_Y^* \left(\theta(f \circ h)\right)$, since θ is a nice orientation. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
h'_*(c\ell(T_{f\circ h'}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h')) &= h'_*(id_Y^* \left(c\ell(T_{f\circ h}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h)\right)) \\
&= id_Y^*(h_*(c\ell(T_{f\circ h}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h)) \qquad \text{(by (B-6))} \\
&= h_*(c\ell(T_{f\circ h}) \bullet \theta(f \circ h)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it does not depend on the choice of the representative $h : V \to X$.

(ii) it preserves the product operation: It suffices to show that

$$\gamma_{c\ell}\left([V \xrightarrow{p} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y]\right) = \gamma_{c\ell}([V \xrightarrow{p} X]) \bullet \gamma_{c\ell}([W \xrightarrow{k} Y])$$

in the context of the definition of the **Product operation**. Using the fiber square (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_{c\ell}\left([V \xrightarrow{p} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y]\right) \\
&= \gamma_{c\ell}([V' \xrightarrow{p\circ k''} X]) \quad \text{(by definition)} \\
&= (p \circ k'')_* \left(c\ell(T_{gfpk''}) \bullet \theta(gfpk'')\right) \quad \text{(by definition)} \\
&= p_* k''_* \left(c\ell(T_{gkf'p'}) \bullet \theta(gkf'p')\right) \quad \text{(by (B-2))} \\
&= p_* k''_* \left(c\ell(T_{f'p'}) \bullet (f'p')^* c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(f'p') \bullet \theta(gk)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have $c\ell(T_{gkf'p'}) = c\ell(T_{f'p'}) \bullet (f'p')^* c\ell(T_{gk})$ by the functoriality and multiplicativity of $c\ell$, due to the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to T_{f'p'} \to T_{gkf'p'} \to (f'p')^* T_{gk} \to 0.$$

Similarly $\theta(gkf'p') = \theta(f'p') \bullet \theta(gk)$, since θ is a canonical orientation. Moreover, $c\ell$ commutes by assumption with the canonical orientation θ so that

$$\theta(f'p') \bullet c\ell(T_{gk}) = (f'p')^* c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(f'p').$$

Thus the sequence of equations continues as follows, since $c\ell$ is functorial and θ is nice:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p_* {k''}_* \Big(c\ell(T_{f'p'}) \bullet \theta(f'p') \bullet c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \Big) \\
&= {k'}_* {p'}_* \Big(k''^* c\ell(T_{fp}) \bullet k^* \theta(fp) \bullet c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \Big) \\
&= {k'}_* {p'}_* \Big(\big(k''^* c\ell(T_{fp}) \bullet k^* \theta(fp) \big) \bullet \big(c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \big) \Big) \quad \text{(by (B-1))} \\
&= {k'}_* {p'}_* \Big(k^* \big(c\ell(T_{fp}) \bullet \theta(fp) \big) \bullet \big(c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \big) \Big) \quad \text{(by (B-5))} \\
&= {k'}_* \Big({p'}_* k^* \big(c\ell(T_{fp}) \bullet \theta(fp) \big) \bullet \big(c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \big) \Big) \quad \text{(by (B-4))} \\
&= {k'}_* \Big(k^* p_* \big(c\ell(T_{fp}) \bullet \theta(fp) \big) \bullet \big(c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \big) \Big) \quad \text{(by (B-6))} \\
&= p_* \big(c\ell(T_{fp}) \bullet \theta(fp) \big) \bullet k_* \big(c\ell(T_{gk}) \bullet \theta(gk) \big) \quad \text{(by (B-7))} \\
&= \gamma_{c\ell}([V \xrightarrow{p} X]) \bullet \gamma_{c\ell}([W \xrightarrow{k} Y]).
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) it preserves the pushforward operation: Consider $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ and a proper morphsim $h : V \to X$ such that the composite $g \circ f \circ h : V \to Y$ is smooth.

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{c\ell}(f_*[V \xrightarrow{h} X]) &= \gamma_{c\ell}([V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y]) \\
&= (f \circ h)_* \big(c\ell(T_{g \circ (f \circ h)}) \bullet \theta(g \circ (f \circ h)) \big) \\
&= f_* h_* \big(c\ell(T_{(g \circ f) \circ h}) \bullet \theta((g \circ f) \circ h) \big) \quad \text{(by (B-2))} \\
&= f_* \gamma_{c\ell}([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) \, .
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) it preserves the pullback operation: Consider a proper morphsim $h : V \to X$ such that the composite $f \circ h : V \to Y$ is smooth, together with the fiber square (9) from the defintion of the **Pullback operation**. Then we have, since $c\ell$ is functorial and θ is nice:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{c\ell}(g^*[V \xrightarrow{p} X]) &= \gamma_{c\ell}([V' \xrightarrow{p'} X']) \\
&= {p'}_* \big(c\ell(T_{f' \circ p'}) \bullet \theta(f' \circ p') \big) \\
&= {p'}_* \big(g''^* c\ell(T_{f \circ p}) \bullet g^* \theta(f \circ p) \big) \\
&= {p'}_* g^* \big(c\ell(T_{f \circ p}) \bullet \theta(f \circ p) \big) \quad \text{(by (B-5))} \\
&= g^* p_* \big(c\ell(T_{f \circ p}) \bullet \theta(f \circ p) \big) \quad \text{(by (B-6))} \\
&= g^* \gamma_{c\ell}([V \xrightarrow{p} X]).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

□

Note that the assumption, that the characterisic class $c\ell$ commutes with the canonical orientation θ, is true for $\mathbb{B}$ commutative, or $\mathbb{B}$ graded-commutative with $c\ell$ taking values in even degree cohomology classes. Similarly it is true for the trivial class $c\ell(V) = 1$ the unit in $\mathbb{B}^*(-)$, as well as for $\mathbb{B} = CH$ the bivariant Chow homology , with $c\ell$ a "usual" multiplicative characteristic class given in terms of Chern class operators as in [13, §3.2].This covers all cases we need in this paper. Finally, the Grothendieck transformation

$$\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{B}(-)$$

from Theorem 3.3 satisfies by the normalization condition

$$\gamma_{c\ell}([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]) = c\ell(T_f) \bullet \theta(f)$$

the *Riemann-Roch formula* with $u_f = c\ell(T_f)$ for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$. So by the general theory we get the

SGA 6 -type Riemann–Roch Theorem: The following diagram commutes for a proper smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[r, "{\gamma_{c\ell}}^*"] \arrow[d, "f_!"'] & \mathbb{B}^*(X) \arrow[d, "f_!(c\ell(T_f)\cup -\ )"] \\
\mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{V}/Y) \arrow[r, "{\gamma_{c\ell}}^*"'] & \mathbb{B}^*(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Verdier-type Riemann–Roch Theorem: The following diagram commutes for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[r, "{\gamma_{c\ell}}_*"] \arrow[d, "f^!"'] & \mathbb{B}_*(X) \arrow[d, "c\ell(T_f)\cap f^!"] \\
\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/Y) \arrow[r, "{\gamma_{c\ell}}_*"'] & \mathbb{B}_*(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 3.4. (1) $\gamma_{c\ell} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ can be called a *bivariant pre-motivic characteristic class transformation*. When Y is a point pt,

$$\gamma_{c\ell *} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) \to \mathbb{H}(X \to pt) = \mathbb{B}_*(X)$$

is the *unique natural transformation* satisfying the *normalization condition* that for a smooth variety

$$\gamma_{c\ell *}([X \xrightarrow{id_X} X]) = c\ell(TX) \cap [X].$$

In other words, this gives rise to a *pre-motivic characteristic class transformation for singular varieties*. In a sense, this could be also a very general answer to the forementioned MacPherson's question about the existence of a unified theory of characteristic classes for singular varieties. We emphasize that for the corresponding universal property of $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X)$, we do not have to require the characteristic class $c\ell$ to be multiplicative or to commute with the canonical orientation θ (since these properties are not used in the proof of Theorem 3.3 (iii), that $\gamma_{c\ell *}$ preserves the pushforward operation)!

(2) In particular, we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "\epsilon"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{c_*}"] & \\ F(X) \arrow[rr, "c_*"'] & & H_*(X) \end{tikzcd},$$

with $H_*(X) = CH_*(X)$ in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, or $H_*(X) = H^{BM}_{2*}(X)$ in the complex algebraic or compact complex analytic context. Here $\epsilon([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := h_* 1\!\!1_V$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "mC_0"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{td\,*}"] & \\ G_0(X) \arrow[rr, "td_*"'] & & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{tikzcd},$$

with $H_*(X) = CH_*(X)$ in the algebraic context over a base field of any characteristic, or $H_*(X) = H^{BM}_{2*}(X)$ in the complex algebraic or compact complex analytic context. Here $mC_0([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := [h_*\mathcal{O}_V]$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "sd"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{L\,*}"] & \\ \Omega(X) \arrow[rr, "L_*"'] & & H^{BM}_{2*}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Here X has to be a compact complex algebraic or analytic variety, with

$$sd([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := [h_*\mathbb{Q}_V[dim(X)]] \,.$$

(3) It follows from Hironaka's resolution of singularities ([17]) that there exists a surjection

$$\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$$

in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, or in the compact complex analytic context. As already explained in the introduction, it then turns out that if (under a certain requirement) the natural transformation $\gamma_{c\ell *}$: $\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ can be pushed down to the relative Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$, then it has to be the Hirzebruch class transformation, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[dl, "q"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{c\ell\,*}"] & \\ K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \arrow[rr, "T_{y\,*}"'] & & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]. \end{tikzcd}$$

And one of the main results of our previous paper [5] claims that in this context the above three diagrams also commute with $\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X)$ being replaced by the smaller group $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$.

Thus we are led to the following natural problem:

Problem 3.5. *Formulate a reasonable bivariant analogue* $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ *of the relative Grothendieck group* $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ *so that the following hold:*

1. *There is a natural group homomorphism* $q : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$*, which is an isomorphism in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, or in the compact complex analytic context.*
2. $\mathbb{B}q : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ *is a certain quotient map, which specializes for* Y *a point to the quotient map* $q : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$*.*
3. $T_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ *is a Grothendieck transformation, which specializes for* Y *a point (in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, or in the compact complex analytic context) to the motivic Hirzebruch class transformation* $T_{y_*} : K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$*.*
4. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \arrow[dl, "\mathbb{B}q"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{T^*_{y*}}"] & \\
\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \arrow[rr, "T_y"'] & & \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y].
\end{tikzcd}$$

If such a bivariant theory $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ is obtained, then its associated contravariant functor $K^0(\mathcal{V}/X) := \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X)$ can be considered as a contravariant counterpart of the relative Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ (at least in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero, or in the compact complex analytic context). Similarly, the natural transformation $T^*_y : K^0(\mathcal{V}/-) \to H^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ is a contravariant counterpart of the Hirzebruch class transformations T_{y*} satisfying the *module property*.

4. A BIVARIANT RELATIVE GROTHENDIECK GROUP $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$

First we recall the following result of Franziska Bittner [3]:

Theorem 4.1 (Bittner)**.** *Let* $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ *be the relative Grothendieck group of varieties over* $X \in obj(\mathcal{V})$*, with* $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$*) the category of (quasi-projective) algebraic (resp. compact complex analytic) varieties over a base field* k *of characteristic zero.*

Then $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{M}_*(X)$ *modulo the "blow-up" relation*

$$\text{(bl)} \qquad [\emptyset \to X] = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad [Bl_Y X' \to X] - [E \to X] = [X' \to X] - [Y \to X]\,,$$

for any cartesian diagram (which shall be called the "blow-up diagram" from here on)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"] & Bl_Y X' \arrow[d, "q"] & \\
Y \arrow[r, "i"] & X' \arrow[r, "f"] & X\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with i *a closed embedding of smooth spaces and* $f : X' \to X$ *proper. Here* $Bl_Y X' \to X'$ *is the blow-up of* X' *along* Y *with exceptional divisor* E*. Note that all these spaces other than* X *are also smooth (and quasi-projective in case* $X', Y \in ob(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp})$*).*

The proof of this theorem requires Abramovich et al's "Weak Factorisation Theorem" [1, 27]. The kernel of the canonical quotient map $q : \mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$ is the subgroup $BL(\mathcal{V}/X)$ of $\mathbb{M}_*(\mathcal{V}/X)$ generated by

$$[Bl_Y X' \to X] - [E \to X] - [X' \to X] + [Y \to X]$$

for any blow-up diagram as above.

Thus what we want is a bivariant analogue of the subgroup $BL(\mathcal{V}/X)$. For that purpose we first observe the following result, working in the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$) of (quasi-projective) algebraic (resp. compact complex analytic) varieties over a base field k of any characteristic.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $h : X' \to X$ *be a* smooth *morphism, with* $i : S \to X'$ *a closed embedding such that the composite* $h \circ i : Z \to X$ *is also* smooth *morphism. Consider the cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] & Bl_S X' \arrow[d, "q"] & \\
S \arrow[r, "i"'] & X' \arrow[r, "h"'] & X ,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

with $q : Bl_S X' \to X'$ *the blow-up of* X' *along* S *and* $q' : E \to S$ *the exceptional divisor map. Then:*

1. $h \circ q : Bl_S X' \to X$ *and* $h \circ q \circ i' : E \to X$ *are also* smooth *morphisms, with* $Bl_S X', E$ *quasi-projective in case* $X', S \in ob(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp})$.
2. *This blow-up diagram* commutes with any base change *in* X, *i.e. the corresponding fiber-square induced by pullback along a morphism* $\tilde{X} \to X$ *is isomorphic to the corresponding blow-up diagram of* $\tilde{S} \to \tilde{X}'$.
3. *The closed embeddings* i, i' *are* regular *embeddings, and the projection map* q *as well as* i, i' *are of* finite Tor-dimension.

Proof. Note that all results are (étale) local in X'. Since both morphisms $h : X' \to X$ and $S \to X' \to X$ are smooth, we can assume that h is the projection $h = pr_2 : X' = \mathbb{A}^n \times X \to X$, with $i : S = \mathbb{A}^m \times X \to \mathbb{A}^n \times X$ induced from a standard inclusion $\mathbb{A}^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of affine spaces ($m \le n$), and the blow-up diagram (10) isomorphic to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \times X \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] & Bl_{\mathbb{A}^m} \mathbb{A}^n \times X \arrow[d, "q"] & \\
\mathbb{A}^m \times X \arrow[r, "i"'] & \mathbb{A}^n \times X \arrow[r, "h=pr_2"'] & X .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here we use the fact that

$$Bl_{\mathbb{A}^m \times X}(\mathbb{A}^n \times X) \simeq Bl_{\mathbb{A}^m} \mathbb{A}^n \times X ,$$

since blowing up commutes with flat base change for the flat projection map $h = pr_2 : X' = \mathbb{A}^n \times X \to X$. Then (1) and (3) are well known, whereas (2) follows again from the fact that blowing up commutes with flat base change for the flat projection maps $h = pr_2 : X' = \mathbb{A}^n \times X \to X$ and $\tilde{h} = pr_2 : \tilde{X}' = \mathbb{A}^n \times \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$. $\square$

Now we are ready to define a bivariant analogue $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ of the subgroup $BL(\mathcal{V}/X)$ and thus a bivariant analogue $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ of $K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$.

Definition 4.3. For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in the category $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ or $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$, we consider a blow-up diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"] & Bl_S X' \arrow[d, "q"] & & \\
S \arrow[r, "i"] & X' \arrow[r, "h"] & X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with h proper and i a closed embedding such that $f \circ h$ as well as $f \circ h \circ i$ are smooth.

Let $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ be the free abelian subgroup of $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ generated by

(rbl) $$[Bl_S X' \xrightarrow{hq} X] - [E \xrightarrow{hiq'} X] - [X' \xrightarrow{h} X] + [S \xrightarrow{hi} X]$$

for any such diagram, and define

$$\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) := \frac{\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}{\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}.$$

The corresponding equivalence class of $[V \xrightarrow{p} X]$ shall be denoted by $\Big[[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big]$.

Note that by Lemma 4.2 (1) $f \circ h \circ q$ and $f \circ h \circ i \circ q'$ are smooth (with $Bl_S X'$ and E quasi-projective in the case $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{qp}$), so that the "relative blow-up relation" (rbl) makes sense in $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{(qp)}$ be the category of (quasi-projective) algebraic varieties (i.e. reduced separated schemes of finite type) over a base field k of any characteristic, or let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_c^{an}$ be the category of compact reduced complex analytic spaces.*

$\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ becomes a bivariant theory with the following three operations, so that the canonical projection $\mathbb{B}q : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/-)$ is a Grothendieck transformation.

Product operation*: For morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$, the product operation*

$$\star : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)$$

is defined by

$$\Big[[V \xrightarrow{h} X]\Big] \star \Big[[W \xrightarrow{k} Y]\Big] := \Big[[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y]\Big]$$

and bilinearly extended.

Pushforward operation*: For morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ with $f \in \mathcal{P}rop$, the pushforward operation*

$$f_* : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \to \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$$

is defined by

$$f_*\left(\Big[[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big] \right) := \Big[f_*([V \xrightarrow{p} X])\Big]$$

and linearly extended.

Pullback operation*: For an independent square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

the pullback operation

$$g^*: \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$$

is defined by

$$g^*\Big(\Big[[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big] \Big) := \Big[g^*([V \xrightarrow{p} X])\Big]$$

and linearly extended.

Proof. It suffices to show the well-definedness of these three operations.

(i) $\Big[V \xrightarrow{h} X\Big] \star \Big[W \xrightarrow{k} Y\Big] := \Big[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y]\Big]$ is well-defined: Let

$$\alpha = [Bl_{S_1}X' \to X] - [E_1 \to X] - [X' \to X] + [S_1 \to X] \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

and

$$\beta = [Bl_{S_2}Y' \to Y] - [E_2 \to Y] - [Y' \to Y] + [S_2 \to Y] \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$$

be given. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big([V \xrightarrow{h} X] + \alpha\Big) \bullet \Big([W \xrightarrow{k} Y] + \beta\Big) \\ &= [V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y] + [V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet \beta + \alpha \bullet \Big([W \xrightarrow{k} Y] + \beta\Big), \end{aligned}$$

and we show that

$$[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet \beta + \alpha \bullet \Big([W \xrightarrow{k} Y] + \beta\Big) \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z).$$

For this end it suffices to show that

$$[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet \beta \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)$$

and

$$\alpha \bullet [H \xrightarrow{j} Y] \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)$$

for any $[H \xrightarrow{j} Y] \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$.

For the proof of $\alpha \bullet [H \xrightarrow{j} Y] \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)$, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{E_1} \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{i'}"] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\widetilde{q'}"'] & & Bl_{\widetilde{S_1}}\widetilde{X'} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\widetilde{q}"] & & \\
E_1 \arrow[rr, "i'"] \arrow[dd, "q'"'] & & Bl_{S_1}X' \arrow[dd, "q"] & & & \\
 & \widetilde{S_1} \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{i}"] \arrow[dl] & & \widetilde{X'} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{h}"] \arrow[dl] & \widetilde{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, "k"] & H \arrow[dl, "j"] \\
S_1 \arrow[rr, "i"'] & & X' \arrow[r, "h"'] & X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y \arrow[r, "g"'] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which by Lemma 4.2 (2) is the pullback by the proper morphism $j : H \to Y$ of the following blow-up diagram:

(11)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_1 \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] & Bl_{S_1}X' \arrow[d, "q"] & & \\
S_1 \arrow[r, "i"] & X' \arrow[r, "h"] & X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha \bullet [H \xrightarrow{j} Y] \\
&= [Bl_{\widetilde{S_1}}\widetilde{X'} \xrightarrow{k\widetilde{h}\widetilde{q}} X] - [\widetilde{E_1} \xrightarrow{k\widetilde{h}\widetilde{q}\widetilde{i'}} X] - [\widetilde{X'} \xrightarrow{k\widetilde{h}} X] + [\widetilde{S_1} \xrightarrow{k\widetilde{h}\widetilde{i}} X],
\end{aligned}$$

which is in $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{g\circ f} Z)$. In the same way one gets

$$[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet \beta \in \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{g\circ f} Z).$$

Here we are using the fact that the pullback of the corresponding blow-up diagram for β under the morphism fh is again a similar blow-up diagram, since fh is smooth and therefore flat.

(ii) The well-definedness of $f_*\Big[[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big] := \Big[[V \xrightarrow{f\circ p} Y]\Big]$ is obvious.

(iii) $g^*\Big[[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big] := \Big[g^*[V \xrightarrow{p} X]\Big]$ is well-defined. The proof based on Lemma 4.2 (2) is similar to that of (i) above, so omitted. □

Note that the proof of the well-definedness of the product- and pullback operations above used Lemma 4.2 (2), as well as the fact that the smooth and therefore flat pullback of a blow-up diagram is again a blow-up diagram.

Remark 4.5. Here we note (cf. [10]) that in general the pullback of a blow-up is *not* the blow-up of the pullback, i.e., consider the following pullback diagram, which is obtained by pulling back a blow-up diagram by the morphism $\widetilde{X} \to X$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{E} \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{i'}"] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\widetilde{q'}"' near end] & & \widetilde{Bl_SX} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\widetilde{q}"] \\
E \arrow[rr, "i'" near end] \arrow[dd, "q'"'] & & Bl_SX \arrow[dd, "q" near start] & \\
 & \widetilde{S} \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{i}" near end] \arrow[dl] & & \widetilde{X} \arrow[dl] \\
S \arrow[rr, "i"'] & & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{E} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{i'}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{q'}"] & \widetilde{Bl_SX} \arrow[d, "\widetilde{q}"] \\
\widetilde{S} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{i}"] & \widetilde{X}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is in general *not* a blow-up diagram, i.e., $\widetilde{Bl_S X}$ is not the blow-up of $\widetilde{X}$ along $\widetilde{S}$. A typical example is the situation that S is a point of the 2-dimensional projective space $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, $\widetilde{X}$ is a smooth curve going through the point S and $h : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is the inclusion map.

Let us finish this section with the following

Remark 4.6. In the case when Y is a point, the blow-up diagram defining $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} pt)$ is nothing but the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"] & Bl_S X' \arrow[d, "q"] & \\
S \arrow[r, "i"] & X' \arrow[r, "h"] & X ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $h : X' \to X$ is proper, X' and S are nonsingular, and $q : Bl_S X' \to X'$ is the blow-up of X' along S with $q' : E \to S$ the exceptional divisor map.

Hence $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} pt)$ is nothing but $BL(\mathcal{V}/X)$, i.e., we have by Bittner's theorem

$$\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{V}/X)$$

in the compact complex analytic context, as well as in the algebraic context over a base field of characteristic zero. Finally note that we always have a group homomorphism

$$\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/X \to pt) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) ,$$

since $Bl_S X' \backslash E \simeq X' \backslash S$ in the diagram above so that

$$[Bl_S X' \to X] - [E \to X] = [X' \to X] - [S \to X] \in K_0(\mathcal{V}/X) .$$

5. MOTIVIC BIVARIANT CHERN AND HIRZEBRUCH CLASS TRANSFORMATIONS

Now we are ready to prove the following main theorem, which is about the *motivic bivariant Chern and Hirzebruch class transformations*.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{qp}$ be the category of quasi-projective algebraic varieties over a base field k of any characteristic.*

(i) *There exists a unique Grothendieck transformation*

$$mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$$

satisfying the normalization condition that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the following equality holds in $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$:

$$\Lambda_y^{mot}\Big(\Big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\Big]\Big) = \Lambda_y(T_f^*) \bullet \mathcal{O}_f .$$

(ii) *Let $T_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ be defined as the composition $\tau \circ \Lambda_y^{mot}$, renormalized by $\cdot(1+y)^i$ on $\mathbb{H}^i(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$. Here $\mathbb{H}$ is either the operational bivariant Chow group, or the even degree bivariant homology theory for $k = \mathbb{C}$, with τ the corresponding Riemann-Roch transformation.*
Then T_y is the unique Grothendieck transformation satisfying the normalization condition that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the following equality holds in $\mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$:

$$T_y\Big(\Big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\Big]\Big) = T_y^*(T_f) \bullet [f].$$

Proof. Uniqueness follows from

$$\Big[[V \xrightarrow{h} X]\Big] = h_*\Big(\Big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\Big]\Big) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

for $h : V \to X$ a proper morphism with $f \circ h$ smooth. So we simply define in this case

$$\gamma_{c\ell}\Big(\Big[[V \xrightarrow{h} X]\Big]\Big) := h_*(c\ell(T_{fh}) \bullet \theta(fh)).$$

Here the motivic bivariant Chern class transformation in (i)

$$mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$$

corresponds to the multiplicative characteristic class $c\ell(W) := \Lambda_y(W^*) \in K^0(-)$ given by the total λ-class of the dual vector bundle, with $\theta(fh) = \mathcal{O}_{fh} = [\mathcal{O}_V]$. The bivariant Hirzebruch class transformation in (ii)

$$T_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$$

corresponds to the multiplicative characteristic class $c\ell(W) := T_y^*(W) \in \mathbb{H}^0(-)$ given by the Hirzebruch class, with $\theta(fh) = [fh]$ the relative fundamental class. Moreover, these characteristic classes commute with the corresponding canonical orientations θ of a smooth morphism (as already explained before). So we only have to show that

- the corresponding Grothendieck transformation

  $$\gamma_{c\ell} =: \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{K}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

  from Theorem 3.3 vanishes on the subgroup $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, and
- the relation $\gamma_{T_y^*} = \tau \circ \Lambda_y^{mot}$ up to the renormalization by the multiplication $\times(1+y)^i$ on $\mathbb{H}^i(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$.

(i) $\underline{\Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{K}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \text{ vanishes on } \mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}$: Let us identify the vector bundle T_{fh}^* for the smooth morphism $fh : V \to Y$ with the corresponding locally free sheaf Ω_{fh}^1 of sections given by the relative one-forms, so that

$$\Lambda_y^{mot}([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := \sum_{p \geq 0} h_*([\Omega_{fh}^p] \bullet \mathcal{O}_{fh}) \cdot y^p \ .$$

Note that by the definition of relative perfectness, $D^b_{id-perf}(V) = D^b_{fh-perf}(V)$ for the smooth morphism fh, so that

$$\bullet\mathcal{O}_{fh} : \mathbb{K}(V \xrightarrow{id_V} V) = K_0(D^b_{id-perf}(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(D^b_{fh-perf}(V)) = \mathbb{K}(V \xrightarrow{fh} X)\ ,$$

with $h_*(-\ \bullet \mathcal{O}_{fh})$ induced by the total direct image

$$Rh_* : D^b_{id-perf}(V) = D^b_{fh-perf}(V) \to D^b_{f-perf}(X)\ .$$

Consider now a blow-up diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] & Bl_S X' \arrow[d, "q"] & & \\
S \arrow[r, "i"'] & X' \arrow[r, "h"'] & X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y\ ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with h proper and i a closed embedding such that fh and fhi are smooth. Then we have by [16, Chapter IV, Theorem 1.2.1 and (1.2.6) on p.74] that the following natural morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms for all $p \geq 0$ (and note that Gros is working in [16, Chapter IV, §1.2] with the corresponding *relative De Rham complexes*):

(a) $\Omega^p_{fh} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^0 q_* \Omega^p_{fhq}$.
(b) $R^k q_* \Omega^p_{fhq} \xrightarrow{\sim} i_* R^k q'_* \Omega^p_{fhiq'}$ for all $k \geq 1$.
(c) $\Omega^p_{fhi} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^0 q'_* \Omega^p_{fhiq'}$.

Here (c) can be checked (étale) locally, so that it follows from [16, (1.2.6) on p.74 and (4.2.12) on p.23]. Moreover all coherent sheaves $\Omega^p_{fh}, \Omega^p_{fhi}$ and $\Omega^p_{fhiq'}$ for $p \geq 0$ are locally free, since the corresponding morphisms are smooth. Similarly all direct image sheaves $R^k q'_* \Omega^p_{fhiq'}$ for $k, p \geq 0$ are locally free, since $q' : E \to S$ is a projective bundle (e.g. compare [16, (1.2.6) on p.74 and (4.2.12) on p.23]). Finally the morphisms i and q are of finite Tor-dimension by Lemma 4.2 (3), with i exact, so that (a) and (b) resp.(c) can be considered as quasi-isomorphisms in $D^b_{fh-perf}(X')$ resp. $D^b_{fhi-perf}(S)$. So one gets for all $p \geq 0$ the following equalities in $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(X' \xrightarrow{fh} Y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_*[\Omega^p_{fhq}] - i_* q'_*[\Omega^p_{fhiq'}] &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \Big([R^k q_* \Omega^p_{fhq}] - [i_* R^k q'_* \Omega^p_{fhiq'}] \Big) \\ &= [R^0 q_* \Omega^p_{fhq}] - [i_* R^0 q'_* \Omega^p_{fhiq'}] \\ &= [\Omega^p_{fh}] - i_*[\Omega^p_{fhi}] \,. \end{aligned}$$

And this implies the needed vanishing result:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda^{mot}_y \left([Bl_S X' \xrightarrow{hq} X] - [E \xrightarrow{hiq'} X] - [X' \xrightarrow{h} X] + [S \xrightarrow{hi} X] \right) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 0} \Big(\; h_* q_*([\Omega^p_{fhq}]) y^p - h_* i_* q'_*([\Omega^p_{fhiq'}]) y^p - h_*([\Omega^p_{fh}]) y^p + h_* i_*([\Omega^p_{fhi}]) y^p \; \Big) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 0} h_* \Big(q_*[\Omega^p_{fhq}] - i_* q'_*[\Omega^p_{fhiq'}] - [\Omega^p_{fh}] + i_*[\Omega^p_{fhi}] \Big) \, y^p = 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Proof of the relation $\gamma_{T^*_y} = \tau \circ \Lambda^{mot}_y$ up to renormalization: By composition with the bivariant Riemann–Roch transformation $\tau : \mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, and extending linearly with respect to the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}[y]$, we get a Grothendieck transformation

$$\tau \circ \Lambda^{mot}_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}^{qp}_k / -) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \,.$$

Similarly, the renormalization $\Psi_{(1+y)} : \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, (1+y)^{-1}]$ given by

$$\cdot (1+y)^i : \mathbb{H}^i(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \to \mathbb{H}^i(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, (1+y)^{-1}]$$

is a Grothendieck transformation, since $\mathbb{H}(-)$ is a graded bivariant theory.

Now we show that our looking-for transformation $T_y = \gamma_{T^*_y}$ can be defined as

$$T_y := \Psi_{(1+y)} \circ \tau \circ \Lambda^{mot}_y : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \subset \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, (1+y)^{-1}] \,.$$

It suffices to check that for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$

$$T_y([X \xrightarrow{id} X]) = T^*_y(T_f) \bullet [f] \in \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \,.$$

And this can be seen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \circ \Lambda^{mot}_y([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} X]) &= \tau(\lambda_y(T^*_f) \bullet \mathcal{O}_f) \\ &= ch(\lambda_y(T^*_f)) \bullet \tau(\mathcal{O}_f) \\ &= ch(\lambda_y(T^*_f)) \bullet td(T_f) \bullet [f] \end{aligned}$$

by the *Riemann–Roch formula*

$$\tau(\mathcal{O}_f) = td(T_f) \bullet [f] \,.$$

Compare with [14, (*) on p.124] for $\mathbb{H}$ the bivariant homology in case $k = \mathbb{C}$. For $\mathbb{H} = CH$ the bivariant Chow group and k of any characteristic, this follows from [13, Theorem 18.2], as we explain later on in Remark 5.4. So we get

$$\tau \circ \Lambda_y^{mot}([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} X]) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\operatorname{rank} T_f} (1 + ye^{-\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^{\operatorname{rank} T_f} \frac{\alpha_j}{1 - e^{-\alpha_j}} \right) \bullet [f] \,,$$

with α_j the Chern roots of T_f. Here it should be noted that $[f] \in \mathbb{H}^{-\operatorname{rank} T_f}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ by [14, Part II, §1.3]) resp. [13, (1) on p.326]. Moreover, the substitution $\alpha_j \mapsto \alpha_j(1+y)$ corresponds to the renormalization

$$\Psi_{(1+y)} : \mathbb{H}^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \to \mathbb{H}^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, (1+y)^{-1}] \,,$$

since $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{H}^1(-)$. So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_y([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} X]) = \Psi_{(1+y)} \circ \tau \circ \Lambda_y^{mot}([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} X]) \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\operatorname{rank} T_f} \left(1 + ye^{-\alpha_j(1+y)}\right) \frac{\alpha_j(1+y)}{1 - e^{-\alpha_j(1+y)}} \right) \bullet [f] \cdot (1+y)^{-\operatorname{rank} T_f} \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\operatorname{rank} T_f} \left(1 + ye^{-\alpha_j(1+y)}\right) \frac{\alpha_j}{1 - e^{-\alpha_j(1+y)}} \right) \bullet [f] \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\operatorname{rank} T_f} \left(\frac{\alpha_j(1+y)}{1 - e^{-\alpha_j(1+y)}} - \alpha_j y \right) \right) \bullet [f] \\
&= T_y^*(T_f) \bullet [f] \in \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.2. (1) Our construction of the Grothendieck transformation $mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot} : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$ based on [16, Chapter IV, Theorem 1.2.1 and (1.2.6)], i.e. on the properties (a),(b) and (c) in the proof above, also works in the algebraic context without considering only quasi-projective varieties, if one uses the more sophisticated definition of $\mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) = K_0(D^b_{f-perf}(X))$ as the Grothendieck goup of the triangulated category of f-perfect complexes.

And a similar definition can also be used in the context of compact complex analytic varieties (compare [14, Part I, §10.10] and [22]). Then it seems reasonable, that one can also construct in a similar way in this compact complex analytic context the Grothendieck transformation $mC_y = \Lambda_y^{mot}$?

Here it would be enough to prove the analogues of the properties (a),(b) and (c) in the complex analytic context.

(2) Similarly one would like to further construct in this compact complex analytic context also the Grothendieck transformation T_y based on Levy's K-theoretical Riemann-Roch transformation

$$\alpha : \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \to \mathbb{K}_0^{top}(-)$$

from algebraic to topological bivariant K-theory (see [22]), together with the topological bivariant Riemann-Roch transformation

$$\mathbb{K}_0^{top}(-) \to \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

from [14, Part I, Example 3.2.2]. A key result missing so far is the counterpart $\alpha(\mathcal{O}_f) = \theta(f)$ of [14, Part II, Theorem 1.4 (3)], that α identifies for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the canonical orientation $\mathcal{O}_f := [\mathcal{O}_X] \in \mathbb{K}_{alg}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ with the canonical orientation $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{K}_0^{top}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$?

Comparing the different normalization conditions for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$, from Theorem 5.1 one gets the following colloary:

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_k^{qp}$ be the category of quasi-projective algebraic varieties over a base field k of any characteristic. Then we have the following commutative diagrams of Grothendieck transformations:*

(i)

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \arrow[dl, "mC_0"'] \arrow[dr, "T_0"] & \\ \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \arrow[rr, "\tau"'] & & \mathbb{H}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{tikzcd}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \arrow[dl, "\epsilon"'] \arrow[dr, "T_{-1}"] & \\ \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(-) \arrow[rr, "\gamma"'] & & CH(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \end{tikzcd}$$

if k is of characteristic zero. Here ϵ is the unique Grothendieck transformation satisfying the normalization condition $\epsilon\Big(\Big[[X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]\Big]\Big) = 1\!\!1_f$ for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$. And similarly for the bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{F}(-) \to A^{PI}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \supset CH(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in case $k = \mathbb{C}$.

(iii) *Assume k is of characteristic zero. Then the associated covariant transformations in Theorem 5.1 (i) and (ii) agree under the identification $\mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/X \to pt) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/X)$ with the motivic Chern and Hirzebruch class transformations mC_y and T_{y*}.*

Proof. Everything follows from the different normalization conditions for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$, except (ii). First we explain the existence of the Grothendieck transformation

$$\epsilon : \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{V}_k^{qp}/-) \to \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(-)$$

to Ernström–Yokura's bivariant theory of constructible functions, resp. in case $k = \mathbb{C}$ to Fulton–MacPherson's bivariant theory $\mathbb{F}(-)$ of constructible functions satisfying the local Euler condition.

(a) Let us first consider the last case. Since $f : X \to Y$ is a smooth morphism, it satisfies trivially the local Euler condition so that $1\!\!1_f := 1\!\!1_X \in \mathbb{F}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$. Moreover, $\theta(f) := 1\!\!1_f$ is a nice canonical orientation for the smooth morphism f, which commutes with the trivial multiplicative characteristic class $c\ell(V) := 1_X \in \mathbb{F}(X \xrightarrow{id_X} X)$ of a vector bundle V on X. So by Theorem 3.3, we get a unique Grothendieck transformation

$$\epsilon : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{V}/-) \to \mathbb{F}(-)$$

satisfying for the smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the normalization condition

$$\epsilon([X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X]) = \mathbb{1}_f\ .$$

Finally, ϵ vanishes on the subgroup $\mathbb{BL}(\mathcal{V}/X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$: Consider a blow-up diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] & Bl_S X' \arrow[d, "q"] & & \\
S \arrow[r, "i"'] & X' \arrow[r, "h"'] & X \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y\ ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with h proper and i a closed embedding such that fh and fhi are smooth. Then $q : U' := Bl_S X' \backslash E \xrightarrow{\sim} X' \backslash S =: U$ so that

$$(fhq)_* \mathbb{1}_{fhq} - (fhiq')_* \mathbb{1}_{fhiq'} = (fhq)_* 1_{U'} = (fh)_* 1_U = (fh)_* \mathbb{1}_{fh} - (fhi)_* \mathbb{1}_{fhi}\ .$$

(b) The same argument works for Ernström–Yokura's bivariant theory $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}(-)$, once we know $\mathbb{1}_f := \mathbb{1}_X \in \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$. Consider a fiber square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d, "f''"'] & X' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y'' \arrow[r, "h"'] & Y' \arrow[r, "g"'] & Y\ ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with h and therefore also h' flat. Then the following diagram is commutative by the *Verdier Riemann-Roch theorem* for the smooth morphism f' (see [30], as well as [14, §10.4, p.111] and the proof of [5, Corollary 2.1 (4)]):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(Y') \arrow[r, "(g^*\mathbf{1}_f)\bullet = f'^*"] \arrow[d, "c_*"'] & F(X') \arrow[d, "c_*"] \\
CH_*(Y') \arrow[r, "c(T_{f'}) \cap f'^*"'] & CH_*(X')\ .
\end{tikzcd} \tag{12}$$

So $\alpha := \mathbb{1}_f$ satisfies the condition $(\tilde{\mathbb{F}} - 1)$ of [11] with $c_g(\mathbb{1}_f) = c(T_{f'}) \cap f'^*$. But it also satisfies the condition $(\tilde{\mathbb{F}} - 2)$ of [11], since $c(T_{f'})\cap$ commutes with flat pullback (by [13, Theorem 3.2(d)]) so that

$$h'^* \circ c_g(\mathbb{1}_f) = h'^*(c(T_{f'}) \cap f'^*) = c(T_{f''}) \cap (f''^* \circ h^*) = c_{g\circ h}(\mathbb{1}_f) \circ h^*\ .$$

And this implies $\mathbb{1}_f \in \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, together with commutativity of the diagram in (ii) by the following "strong normalization condition" for the smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$, which by the definition of the right hand side given in [13, p.325–326] is equivalent to $c_g(\mathbb{1}_f) = c(T_{f'}) \cap f'^*$ for all base changes g:

$$\gamma(\mathbb{1}_f) = c(T_f) \bullet [f] \in CH(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)\ . \tag{13}$$

□

Remark 5.4. In the same way as above one can get the *Riemann–Roch formula*

$$\tau(\mathcal{O}_f) = td(T_f) \bullet [f]$$

for a smooth (or local complete intersection) morphism $f : X \to Y$ and the bivariant Riemann-Roch transformation $\tau : \mathbb{K}_{alg}(-) \to CH(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ from [13, Example 18.3.16]. By the definition of τ, the associated covariant transformation τ_* agrees with the Todd class transformation

$$\tau_* = td_* : G_0(X') \to CH^{-*}(X' \to pt) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq CH_*(X') \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,,$$

with the last isomorphism given by [13, Proposition 17.3.1]. Since τ commutes with the bivariant product $\bullet$, one gets for a base change g as above by the *Verdier Riemann-Roch theorem* [13, Theorem 18.2] a commutative diagram

(14)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_0(Y') \arrow[r, "(g^*\mathcal{O}_{f'})\bullet = f'^*"] \arrow[d, "td_*"'] & G_0(X') \arrow[d, "td_*"] \\
CH_*(Y') \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, "td(T_{f'}) \cap f'^*"'] & CH_*(X') \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

But $(td_*) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is surjective (in fact even an isomorphism) by [13, Corollary 18.3.2], which implies $\tau_g(\mathcal{O}_{f'}) = td(T_{f'}) \cap f'^*$ for any such base change g. And this is equivalent to the Riemann-Roch formula $\tau(\mathcal{O}_f) = td(T_f) \bullet [f]$ by the definition of the right hand side given in [13, p.325–326].

Let us finish this paper with the following problem: We don't know if Brasselet's bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{F}(-) \to \mathbb{H}(-)$ to Fulton-MacPherson's bivariant homology $\mathbb{H}(-)$ (see [4]) satisfies for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the "strong normalization condition"

$$\gamma(1\!\!1_f) = c(T_f) \bullet [f] \in \mathbb{H}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

with $[f]$ the corresponding relative fundamental class?

If this is the case, then Corollary 5.3 (ii) would also be true for Brasselet's bivariant Chern class transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{F}(-) \to \mathbb{H}(-)$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Paolo Aluffi, David Eisenbud, Shihoko Ishii, Toru Ohmoto and Takehiko Yasuda for valuable discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Abramovich, K. Karu, K. Matsuki and J. Włodarczyk, *Torification and factorization of birational maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 531–572.

[2] P. Baum, W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, *Riemann–Roch for singular varieties*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **45** (1975), 101–145.

[3] F. Bittner, *The universal Euler characteristic for varieties of characteristic zero*, Compositio Math. **140** (2004), 1011–1032.

[4] J.-P. Brasselet, *Existence des classes de Chern en théorie bivariante*, Astérisque, **101–102** (1981), 7–22.

[5] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, *Hirzebruch classes and motivic Chern classes for singular spaces*, Journal of Topology and Analysis, **2**, No.1 (2010), 1–55.

[6] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, *Classes de Hirzebruch et classes de Chern motivique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, **342** (2006), 325–328.

[7] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, *On the uniqueness of bivariant Chern classes and bivariant Riemann–Roch transformations*, Advances in Math., **210** (2007), 797–812.

[8] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, *On Grothendieck transformation in Fulton–MacPherson's bivariant theory*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **211** (2007), 665–684.

[9] S. E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson, *Stratifiable maps and topological invariants*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 521–551.

[10] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *The Geometry of Schemes*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[11] L. Ernström and S. Yokura, *Bivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes with values in Chow groups*, Sel. Math., New ser. **8** (2002), 1–25.

[12] L. Ernström and S. Yokura, *Addendum to Bivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes with values in Chow groups*, Sel. Math., New ser. **10** (2004), 29–35.

[13] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1981.

[14] W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, *Categorical frameworks for the study of singular spaces*, Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc. **243**, 1981.

[15] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology theory*, Topology **149** (1980), 155–162.

[16] M. Gros, *Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Memoire No. **21**, 1985.

[17] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 109–326.

[18] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, 3rd ed. (1st German ed. 1956)*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[19] F. Hirzebruch, T. Berger and R. Jung, *Manifolds and Modular Forms*, Vieweg, 1992.

[20] G. Kennedy, *MacPherson's Chern classes of singular varieties*, Com. Algebra. **9** (1990) 2821–2839.

[21] R. Levy, *Riemann-Roch theorem for complex spaces*, Acta Math. **158** (1987) 149–188.

[22] R. Levy, *Riemann-Roch theorem for higher bivariant K-functors*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **58** (2008), 571–601.

[23] R. MacPherson, *Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. **100** (1974), 423–432.

[24] R. MacPherson, *Characteristic Classes for Singular Varieties*, Proceedings of the 9-th Brazilian Mathematical Colloquium (Poços de Caldas 1973) Voll.II, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, São Paulo, (1977), 321–327 .

[25] J. Schürmann, *Characteristic classes of mixed Hodge modules*, in "Topology of Stratified Spaces", MSRI Publications Vol. **58**, Cambridge University Press (2010) , 419–470.

[26] J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, *A survey of characteristic classes of singular spaces*, in ”Singularity Theory” (Denis Cheniot et al, ed.), Dedicated to Jean-Paul Brasselet on his 60th birthday, Proceedings of the 2005 Marseille Singularity School and Conference, World Scientific (2007), 865–952.

[27] J. Włodarczyk, *Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem*, Inv. Math. **154** (2003), 223–331.

[28] J. Woolf, *Witt groups of sheaves on topological spaces*, Comment.Math. Helv., **83** (2008), 289–326.

[29] S. Yokura, *On Cappell-Shaneson's homology L-class of singular algebraic varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 1005–1012.

[30] S. Yokura, *On a Verdier-type Riemann-Roch for Chern-Schwartz- MacPherson class*, Topology and its Appl. **94** (1999), 315–327.

[31] S. Yokura, *Oriented bivariant theory, I*, International J. Mathematics, Vol. **20**, No. 10 (2009), 1305–1334.

[32] S. Yokura, *Motivic characteristic classes*, in "Topology of Stratified Spaces", MSRI Publications **58**, Cambridge Univ. Press (2010), 375–418.

Jörg Schürmann: Westf. Wilhelms-Universität, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany

E-mail address: `jschuerm@math.uni-muenster.de`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, 21-35 Korimoto 1-chome, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan

E-mail address: `yokura@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp`